

Appl. No. 10/659,989  
Amdt. Dated 06/03/2005  
Reply to Office Action of March 3, 2005

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

The Examiner has provided a restriction requirement, requiring Applicant to elect between Species I (Fig. 1), Species II (Figs. 3a and 3b), Species III (Figs. 4, 5a and 5b) and Species IV (Page 20, lines 11-15 (claim 32)). Applicant hereby elects Species III (Figs. 4, 5a and 5b) without traverse. Claims 1, 8-13, 26, 27 and 30-32 read on the elected species, with claims 1 and 26 being generic.

The Examiner is also requiring Applicant to elect between Sub-species A (Page 9, line 19 through page 10, line 3 (claim 3)) and Sub-species B (Page 20, lines 3-7 (claim 4)). Applicant hereby elects Sub-species B without traverse.

Appl. No. 10/659,989  
Amdt. Dated 06/03/2005  
Reply to Office Action of March 3, 2005


**CONCLUSION**

Applicant respectfully requests examination of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 06/03/2005

By   
Roger W. Blakely, Jr.  
Reg. No. 25,831  
Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:


**MAILING**

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service  
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: 06/03/2005

**FACSIMILE**

☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and  
Trademark Office.

 6/3/2005  
Jessica A. Clark Date